Before Amir M. Khan Afridi, Director/HOD (Adjudication-I)

In the matter of Show Cause Notice issued to A.H.M. Securities (Pvt.) Limited

Dates of Hearing

April 26, 2022

Order-Redacted Version

Order dated June 24, 2022 was passed by Director/Head of Department (Adjudication-I) in the matter of A.H.M. Securities (Pvt.) Limited. Relevant details are given as hereunder:

Nature		Details
1.	Date of Action	Show cause notice dated March 04, 2022.
2.	Name of Respondent	A.H.M. Securities (Pvt.) Limited (the Respondent)
3.	Nature of Offence	Alleged contraventions of under <u>rules 4(1) and 6(1) of the</u> <u>AML/CFT Sanctions Rules, 2020 (the Rules) and regulation 11,</u> <u>5(a), 27(2)(c)(ii), 25(2), 23(2), 31 of the Securities and Exchange</u> <u>Commission of Pakistan (Anti Money Laundering and</u> <u>Countering Financing of Terrorism) Regulations, 2020 (the AML Regulations) read with Section 6(A)(2)(h) of the Anti-Money.</u> <u>Laundering Act, 2010 (the Act).</u>
4.	Action Taken	Key findings were reported in the following manner: I have considered the facts of the case and the written as well as oral submissions of the Respondent and its Representation in light of the applicable legal provisions and observed that:
		 (i) With regard to the alleged violation of regulations 11 of the AML Regulations, the respondent failed to submitted the pre-Inspection evidence of identified customer. However, during the hearing, the Representatives informed that subsequent to the Inspection they have rectified it. Therefore, the Respondent cannot deny violation of the aforesaid regulation of the AML Regulations. (ii) with regard to the alleged violation of regulations and 25(1)(a) of the AML Regulations, the Respondent responded to the JIT that we will update our Policy & Procedures in light of your guidance and will provide

ГТ	
	you our updated Policy & Procedures as soon as it is
	available. During the hearing, the Representatives
	informed that subsequent to the Inspection they have
	rectified it. Therefore, the Respondent cannot deny
	violation of the aforesaid regulation of the AML
	Regulations.
(iii)	The defaults of regulation 27(2)(c)(ii) were
	consequential to the default of regulations 5(a) and
	25(l)(a) of the AMI Regulations as mentioned in above
	para (ii).
(iv)	with regard to the alleged violation of regulation 25(2)
	of the AML Regulations, the Respondent failed to
	submitted the pre-inspection evidence, which reflects
	that they were fulfilling the aforesaid regulation.
	However, during the hearing, the Representatives
	informed that subsequent to the Inspection they have
	rectified it. Therefore, the Respondent cannot deny
	violation of the aforesaid regulation of the AML
	Regulations.
(v)	with regard to the alleged violation of regulation 23(2)
	of the AML Regulations. the Respondent failed to
	submit the pre-Inspection evidence of the identified
	client, which reflects that they were fulfilling the
	aforesaid regulation. However, during the hearing,
	the Representatives informed that subsequent to the
	Inspection they have rectified it. Therefore, the
	Respondent cannot deny violation of the aforesaid
	regulation of the AML Regulations.
(vi)	with regard to the alleged violation of clause (ii) of
	note contained in Annex l of the AML Regulations, the
	representatives admitted violation of the aforesaid
	regulation of the AML Regulations.
(vii)	with regard to the alleged violation of regulations 5(c),
	8(3), 21(1) and clause (i)(m) & (o) of note to Annex 1 of
	the AML Regulations, the Respondent failed to submit
	the pre-Inspection evidence of the identified clients,
	which reflects that they were fulfilling the aforesaid
	regulations. However, during the hearing, the
	Representatives informed that subsequent to the
	Inspection they have rectified it. Therefore, the
	Respondent cannot deny violation of the aforesaid
	regulation of the AML Regulations.
Therefore	e, in terns of the powers conferred under 6(A)(2)(h) of the
	eby impose penalty of Rs. 780,000/- (Rupees Seven
	Eighty Thousand Only) on the Respondent. With

		respect non-compliance of regulation 27(2)(c)(ii) of the AML Regulations. the Compliance Officer of the Respondent is warned to be careful in future. The Respondent is advised to review its ANIL/ CFT policies & procedures to ensure that the requirements contained in the AML Regulations are met in letter and spirit, in future.
5.	Penalty Imposed	Rs. 780,000/-
6.	Current Status of Order	Penalty not deposited and No Appeal has been filed by the respondent.