Before

Amir M. Khan Afridi, Director/Head of Department

In the matter of

Bilal Fibres Limited

Date of Hearing

October 20, 2021

Order-Redacted Version

Order dated December 30, 2021 was passed by Director/Head of Department (Adjudication-I) in the matter of Bilal Fibres Limited. Relevant details are given as hereunder:

in the matter of Bilal Fibres Limited. Relevant details are given as hereunder:	
Nature Details	
1. Date of Action Show Cause Notice dated September 2, 2021	
2. Name of Bilal Fibres Limited	
Company	
3. Name of The proceedings were initiated against the Compan	y and its Board of
Individual* Directors of the Company.	
4. Nature of Offence Brief facts of the case are that the Company he	ld its election of
directors in the extra ordinary general meeting (EOC	GM) on December
20, 2020, wherein seven directors were re-elected.	It was, however,
observed that neither any independent director	nor any female
director was appointed on the board of director	ors (BOD) of the
Company. In terms of regulation 6 of the Listed Co.	mpanies (Code of
Corporate Governance) Regulations, 2019 (the	Regulations), the
Company was required to have at least two or one-	,
the Board, whichever was higher, as independen	
board. Moreover, in terms of regulation 7 of the	
Company was also required to have at least one fem-	_
BOD. The concerned department of Securities	
Commission of Pakistan (the Commission) vide let	_
2021 sought explanation of the Company for no	-
regulations 6 and 7 of the Regulations. The Compa	-
vide letter dated June 16, 2021, inter alia, stated	
announcement of election of directors, search was made	2
Pakistan Institute of Corporate Governance (PICG,	-
directors who may be stationed at Lahore. However, the	,
been able to identify any suitable person for filling the	, ,
director. The Company shall continue to search for s	
induction on the board. As soon as the efforts succeed, s	
appointed by co-option by withdrawing family director	•
position with regard to appointment of a female director.	As the Company is
heavily under debt, the sponsors do not find feasible to be	
female on the board."	
The aforesaid reply of the Company was not for	ound satisfactory.
Hence, proceedings were initiated through said	-

5	Action Taken	Key findings are as hereunder:
J.	Action Tuken	I have examined the facts of the case, submissions made in writings and during the hearing proceedings. I am of the view that it is mandatory for the listed company to have:
		 (i) at least two or one third members of the Board, whichever is higher, as independent directors as per the requirements of the regulation 6 and (ii) at least one female director on its board as per the
		requirement of regulations 7 of the Regulations.
		The purpose of having independent directors and a female director is to bring an independent oversight and have gender diversity on the boards of the listed companies for better corporate governance. The Respondents have not furnished evidence of compliance of the relevant Regulations and defaults persisted since last election of BOD held on December 20, 2020. I am of the view that the arguments submitted by the Respondents are not tenable. The Respondents admitted the defaults and are construed non-compliant with the mandatory requirements of regulation 6 and regulation 7 of the Regulations by not having at least two independent directors and at least one female director on BOD of the Company. The aforesaid violations attract applicability of regulation 37 read with Section 512(2) of the Act. I, therefore, in terms of powers conferred under regulation 37 of the Regulations read with Section 512(2) of the Act, hereby, impose penalty of Rs. 100,000/- (Rupees One Hundred Thousand only) on *** and also warn the *** to be careful in future.
		It is hereby directed to deposit the aforesaid amount of penalty in the designated bank account maintained in the name of the Commission with MCB Bank Limited within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order and furnish receipted bank challan, evidencing payment of the same, to the Commission forthwith. In case of failure to deposit the penalties, the proceedings under Section 485 of the Act will be initiated for recovery of the fines as arrears of land revenue.
		Nothing in this Order may be deemed to prejudice the operation of any provision of the Act providing for imposition of penalties in respect of any default, omission, violation of the Act.
6.	Penalty Imposed	Rs. 100,000/-
7.	Current Status of Order	No appeal was filed.