

#### Before Shaukat Hussain, Commissioner (Insurance)

In the matter of

#### IGI Life Insurance Limited

Show Cause Notice No. and Issue Date: ID/Enf/IGILife/2019/162 Dated

March 13, 2019

Date of Hearing: March 25, 2019

Attended By: 1. Mr. Nadeem Rehman Malik

Deputy Chief Executive Officer M/s. IGI Life Insurance Limited

2. Mr. Ali Nadim

Chief Operating Officer

M/s. IGI Life Insurance Limited

Date of Order:

March 27, 2019

#### **ORDER**

Under Regulation 6(3)(a), Regulations 6(3)(b), Regulation 6(4), Regulation 9(4)(a),
Regulation 9(4)(b) and Regulation 19 of the Anti-Money Laundering and
Countering Financing of Terrorism Regulations, 2018 read with Section 40A of the
Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan Act, 1997

This Order shall dispose of the proceedings initiated against M/s. IGI Life Insurance Limited (the "Company"), its Chief Executive and Directors for alleged contravention of Regulation 6(3)(a), Regulations 6(3)(b), Regulation 6(4), Regulation 9(4)(a), Regulation 9(4)(b) and Regulation 19 of the Anti-Money Laundering and Countering Financing of Terrorism Regulations, 2018 (the "2018 Regulations"). The Company and its Directors shall be collectively referred to as the "Respondents" hereinafter.

- 2. The Company is registered under the Insurance Ordinance, 2000 (the "Ordinance") to carry on life insurance business in Pakistan.
- 3. An onsite inspection of the Company was conducted to assess the compliance of the Company with the Anti-Money Laundering (AML) and Know-Your-Customer (KYC) requirements as given in SRO 20(l)/2012 (the "directive") and the 2018 Regulations.
- 4. The inspection team noted significant number of instances where the Company failed to comply with the relevant laws.



Continuation Sheet - 2 -

5. The Company's own Anti Money Laundering (AML)/ Countering Financing of Terrorism (CFT) policy and Procedure # 14 state that in case of premium payment greater than or equal to Rs. 5 million, the policyholder would be required to provide source of funds. Furthermore, as per manual dated January 25, 2018 approved by Underwriting Management Committee (UMC), documented proof of regular income would also be required for single premium of Rs. 5 million and above. However, in most of the sample policy files, totaling 48, the inspection team did not find evidence, which could establish proof of the source of regular income of the policyholders in the form of salary slips, tenancy proceeds, tax returns, or whatever the case may be, as required by Regulation 9(4)(b) of the 2018 Regulations. The inspection team noted the following instances:-

Policyholder	Policy #	Premium	Remarks	
The State of the S	1	Rs. 20 million	The file did not contain proof of regular income, the Customer Du Diligence (CDD), checklist columns # 6 and 7 were left blank and the query raised by Manager Underwriting regarding any documented proof to establish sources of deposited funds was not answered.	
)	, constitution of the	Rs. 9.7 million	Described as principal, having 30 years length of service, with annual income of Rs. 2.5 million, but non-filer, and her checklist columns for source of income and proof of employment were left blank. No copy of salary slip and service card was found attached.	
		Rs. 7.8 million	Described as housewife, with earning through saving and investment but no source of regular income was found in the file.	
189	**************************************		Source of income/occupation shown as 'saving' and in the profile, the relevant columns were left blank. Her bank statement pertained to a Joint account, which did not support adequate income.	
	See and See Aug.	Rs, 9,7 million	Source of regular income was not found in the file. Checklist for CDD columns # 8, Proof of business/Employment income was left blank. Customer profile's columns regarding sources of income, business/job/other occupation were left blank	
,			Stated as Manager Corporation but no source of regular income was found.	
D. C.	g d find	Rs. 6.7 million	Described as being a senior government hospital doctor but source of regular income was not found in the file. Checklist for CDD with columns regarding source of income and proof of business were left blank.	
		Rs. 10 million	Described as a salesman, contractor of vehicle but source of regular income was not found with proof and also columns for proof of employment were left blank. Copy of salary slip and service card etc. were not found attached in the file.	

6. Out of the 48 policy files, taken as sample by the inspection team, 15 policy files were categorized as high risk and accordingly subjected to Enhanced Due Diligence (EDD) by the Company. The inspection team observed that the Company, in violation of Regulation 9(4)(a) of the 2018 Regulations and the Company's own AML/CFT Policy and Procedure Part VII, C EDD, did not take approval from the senior management in the following policy files:-

Policyholder	Policy number	Premium (Rs.)
N		20 million
<u> </u>		300,000+19,700,30
M.,		9 million



# , and the second second

#### SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION OF PAKISTAN

Continuation Sheet + 3 +

- 7. The remarks and approval given on the customer profile did not show approval from the senior management. Almost all approvals and congratulatory letters were issued and signed by officials, who were not in the list of senior management as per Company's policy.
- 8. As per Regulations 6(3)(a) and 6(4) of the 2018 Regulations, the Company's Underwriting Management Committee approved a Manual dated January 25, 2018, that lays down the requirement for obtaining documented proof of job/business for single premium policies (SPP) of Rs. 5 million and above; and AML/CFT Policy and Procedure Part VII, A, b, requires that in case of salaried person, in addition to CNIC, an attested copy of the service card, service certificate, pay slip on letter head of the employer would be obtained. However, the Company appeared to be negligent over this matter. The inspection team noted the following instances of non-compliances with Regulation 9(4)(a) of the 2018 Regulations:-

Policyholder	Policy No.	Premium	Remarks
l. herein	'a	plus 1,970,030	The team found a print copy of the business website COM CON PVT LTD carrying no name of the client but with the same address. The team on its own made a search on the net yet could not find the required site to verify it.  Checklist for CDD columns # 8 Proof of business/employment
			income were left blank. Customer Profile's columns regarding sources of income, business/job/other Occupation were left blank.
Ms.			Described as principal, 30 years length of service, with annual income of Rs. 2,500,000, non-filer, and her checklist columns for source of income with proof and proof of employment were left blank.
1	, , , ,	premium of	Customer Profile says, "Applicant is existing client, proof of job attached in existing case" but the Company neither provided the proof during inspection nor afterwards.
A	- Annual Control of the Control of t	Rs 6.7 million	Described as a senior government hospital doctor but no employment proof was found. Checklist for CDD with columns regarding source of income and proof of business were left
M	ξ ·• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Described as a salesman, contractor of vehicle but no proof thereof was found. In the checklist, the columns relating to employment were left blank. No copy of salary slip and service card was found in the file.

9. In a number of cases, the purpose of taking a policy was not clearly given, where the same policyholder surrendered/abandoned his previous policies prematurely. Similarly, policy record in some of the cases showed a dozen previous policies of the same policyholder and nearly half of them were termed as incomplete and the rest as due for premium but the Company did not raise any suspicion on selling him a new policy. This was contrary to the Regulation 6(3)(b) read with Regulation 13(2) of the 2018 Regulations and the Company's AML-CFT Policy and Procedure Part I, which includes the matter in Suspicious Transactions (Red Flags). Some instances of noncompliances are as follows:-

Policyholder	Policy No.	Premium	Remarks	
Mı	4	Rs. 20	Surrendered and cancelled his 5 policies within a span of 5 years but no	
L Du H		l	probing appeared to have been conducted by the Company. Besides the policyholder declared no dependent in the FNA form but in priorities he	
			declared dependents and their education, wedding for the purpose of policy.	

NIC Building, 63 Jinnah Avenue, Blue Area, Islamabad Phone No: 051-9207091-94 FAX No: 051-9100496



Continuation Sheet - 4 -

Mr. N d	Rs. 10 nuillion	Previously, the policyholder had 12 insurance policies, out of which 6 were stated as "incomplete" issued in the 2017. However, the policy file did not contain the reasons for abandoning the earlier policies and issuing a new policy.
M /	 Rs. 4 million	Previously, the applicant had 6 policies, the status of some of the policies was not clear. The Company stated that the applicant applied for reinstatement of existing lapsed policy. The Policy file of the applicant however did not show the status of previous policies.

- 10. The Company could not provide any evidence regarding group-wide program against ML/TF, despite the fact that the Company is a part of a large financial and corporate group, contrary to the Regulation 19 of the 2018 Regulations. Besides this, the Company's policy and procedures did not make provision / reference relating to the group-wide program or compliance.
- 11. Contrary to the Regulation 6(4) of the 2018 Regulations, the inspection team found that certain policy files did not contain copy of the Verisys. Instances included policy issued to \_\_\_\_\_, policy # \_\_\_\_\_ having premium of Rs. 200,000/-.
- 12. In view of the above, it appeared that the Company has failed to comply with Regulation 6(3)(a), Regulation 6(3)(b), Regulation 6(4), Regulation 9(4)(a), Regulation 9(4)(b) and Regulation 19 of the 2018 Regulations.
- 13. Regulation 6(3)(a), Regulation 6(3)(b) and Regulation 6(4) of the 2018 Regulations state that:
  - "(3) Customer due diligence (CDD) in broader term include-
    - (a) Identifying the customer or beneficial owner and verifying the customer's/beneficial owner's identity on the basis of documents, data or information obtained from customer and/or from reliable and independent sources;
    - (h) understanding and, as appropriate, obtaining information on the purpose and intended nature of the business relationship;
  - (4) Regulated person shall obtain such documents from different types of customers as provided in Annexure-I."
- 14. Regulation 9(4)(a) and Regulation 9(4)(b) of the 2018 Regulations state that:
  - "(4) Enhanced Due Diligence (EDD).- EDD measures include but are not limited to the following-
    - (a) obtain approval from regulated person senior management to establish or continue business relations with such customers;
    - (b) establish, by appropriate means, the sources of wealth and/or funds or beneficial ownership of funds, as appropriate; including regulated person' own assessment to this effect; "
- 15. Regulation 19 of the 2018 Regulations states that:





Continuation Sheet - 5 -

"Financial Groups.- Financial groups should implement group-wide programmes against ML/TF, which should be applicable, and appropriate to, all branches and majority-owned subsidiaries of the financial group and these should include the measures set out in in these regulations and also-

- (a) policies and procedures for sharing information required for the purposes of CDD and ML/TF risk management;
- (b) the provision, at group-level compliance, audit, and/or AML/CFT functions, of customer, account, and transaction information from branches and subsidiaries when necessary for AML/CFT purposes; and
- (c) adequate safeguards on the confidentiality and use of information exchanged."
- 16. Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice (SCN) No. ID/Enf/IGILife/2019/162 dated March 13, 2019 was issued to the Respondents, calling upon them to show cause as to why the fine as provided under Section 40A of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan Act, 1997 (the "Act") should not be imposed on them for the aforementioned alleged contraventions of the law.
- 17. The Company vide letter dated March 14, 2019 sought 30 days' extension to submit reply to the aforesaid Show Cause Notice. The request of the Company was not acceded to. Thereafter, the Commission vide its letter dated March 15, 2019 bearing no. ID/Enf/IGILife/2019/234 scheduled the hearing in the matter on March 25, 2019 at the Head Office of the Commission in Islamabad.
- 18. Meanwhile, the Respondents submitted their reply vide letter dated March 22, 2019, which is reproduced below;
  - 1. SECP has promulgated AML CFT Regulations on June 13, 2018 for all entities regulated by the Commission and as per clause 4 of the Regulation; depending upon the risks and size of the business company has to develop and implement policies, procedures and controls to be approved by the Board of Directors. Hence, the company prepared its policy and got the approval from the Board of Directors. We have assessed risks based upon Size, Customers, Products, Distribution channels. Transactions, Geographies and identified the overall entity risk as "Low" as per the criteria mentioned in the AML policy of the Company. This policy has been submitted with SECP. As mentioned in the policy referred to in above show cause notice, "policyholder would also be required to provide "source of funds". We have checked again and found that in all the applications under review in our view, "source of funds" was available. Furthermore, all mentioned policies fall under single premium category where premium is payable only once at the time of issuance. Therefore, according to our understanding, the proof of regular income was required in the case of regular premium policies while single premium policies can be financed by accumulated savings/ investments invested through formal financial channel and if source of funds is identified.

#### As regards Para 7:

It is humbly submitted, although the funds used to invest in single premium policies came through the banking channel, the Company invariably further identified sources of





Continuation Sheet - 6 -

funds through documentary evidence. We have also checked and found that following evidence is attached in the policy files showing their identity and source of funds.

We would like to further submit that documentary proof of source of regular income is also available in 6 out of 8 policies below. While in 2 cases (. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, reasons for not seeking documentary proof of regular income have been explained in the relevant cases below.

Copies of the all the reference of page #'s from policy file mentioned in each case are attached under Annexure A.

Doline	Source of Pered	Carrage of Daniel Transport
Policy Holder	Source of Fund	Source of Regular Income
Holder	The appropriate proof of source of funds and wealth is provided in the said policy file. The proof of funds / wealth is established by the following documents attached for reference:  a) Online NTN verification registered on 09.01.2000 (page # 24 on annexure A); b) Account maintenance certificate; stating that account was opened with Standard Chartered Bank on 16.08.2008 and closing balance maintained in the account was around Rs. 191.5 million (page # 46 on annexure A; it is submitted that this was sent in response to the manager underwriting regarding documented proof of source of funds. c) Letter on Letterhead of Advanced Medical Diagnostic Centre form (page # 47 of annexure A);  It is submitted that as per our Financial underwriting standards, such applicants can comfortably afford Rs. 20 million of Single Premium policy. Furthermore, the funds are received through the banking channel which itself has been classified as Low Risk as banks are also required to scrutinize the funds for AML/CFT as per AML-CFT regulations of State Bank of Pakistan.	It is submitted that this applicant owns "Advanced Medical Diagnostic Centre (page # 27 on annexure A). The address of the business also confirmed through the FBR website inquiry and matches with the application (page # 24 on annexure A).  This can be further verified from the letter on Advanced Medical Diagnostic Centre letterhead written to Standard Chartered Bank by (page # 47 on annexure A). In our view, this show that this applicant earns his regular income from his business.
1	Proof of source of funds / wealth is established by:  a) Account maintenance certificate which shows that account was opened on 12.10.2010 (page # 44 on annexure A)  b) Bank statement showing balance of Rs. 12 million (page # 45 & 46 on annexure A)  c) Proof of receiving Rs. 12 million from foreign remittance (page # 47 on annexure A)  d) Proof of Rs. 14.4 million in her IPS account at Standard Chartered Bank (page # 48 on annexure A)	In this case, the applicant has not used her source of regular income to invest in the single premium policy but used the funds received from foreign remittance through official banking channel.  Hence in our view, in this 1 case no further documentary evidence of source of regular income was required.





Continuation Sheet - " -

	The lady already had sufficient funds in her	
	bank account and those received through the	
	banking channel, therefore source of funds is	
	clearly identified based upon the evidence as	1
***************************************	stated in points a) to d) above.	
<del></del>	The policyholder has declared herself as a house	The lady has declared herself as house
, ,		
Ax. 21*	wife. The source of funds was established by the	wife and also declared that her source
	following documents:	of regular income is from savings and
	a) Bank statements showing transfer of funds of	investments.
	Rs. 6 million and Rs. 2.2 million from Al-	She has provided details of her
	Meezan Investment Account statement	savings and Investments in Al
	(page # 52 and 53 attached on annexure A).	Meezan account (page # 52 and 53 on
	b) Account maintenance certificate from SCB	attached on annexure A).
	showing date of opening of account as	She planned to invest her savings in
	17.11.2011 (page 51 attached on annexure	IGI Life to generate a return on her
	(A)	investments.
	c) Bank Account statement of SCB showing	Hence in our view source of regular
	transfer of Rs. 6 million and Rs. 2.2	income is established from her
	millionfrom Al Meezan Investment on 14 -	investments.
	19 June 2018; respectively (page # 54	
	attached on annexure A)	-
	Given that the lady already had sufficient funds	THE PARTY OF THE P
	in her bank and all funds were received to the	личенали на при
	Company through the banking channel,	-
	therefore from our financial underwriting point	
	of view source of funds has been established.	
	The policyholder has declared earnings from	The lady has declared that her source
	savings and investment.	of regular income is from savings and
		investments. She has provided details
	Evidence suggest that the lady had received	of her savings and Investments in
	Rs. 7.1 million in Standard Charted Bank	Standard Chartered Bank (SCB)
	account 1 against cash surrender value from	account against cash surrender value
	EFU Life (page # 56 on annexure A). The	from EFU Life (page # 56 on
	amount was transferred to IGI Life from her	annexure A).
	account maintained with SCB. The account	o,
	maintenance certificate from SCB is provided	She has invested her savings in IGI
	with account opening date 28.02.2013 (page #	Life to generate a return on her
	57 on annexure A).	investments.
	Chan that the first standard to be seen	Hence, in our view source of regular
	Given that the lady already had sufficient	1 . , , ,
	funds in her bank and all received to us	investments.
	through the banking channel, therefore from	Laboration of the Contract of
	our financial underwriting point of view	
	source of funds is established.	Since the complement lear deal and that
	The policyholder is a retired individual who	Since the applicant has declared that
	after retirement had invested in "Saving Mahana Izafa" funds.	he is retired and makes money from
<del>.</del>	TATHERINE TENHES	managing investments. It can be seen from his bank statement that he earns
	We have checked and found that 28.02.2013	monthly profit on his savings in the
	and account statement (page # 50 and 51 on	
		bank and a part of these savings has
	annexure A) submitted Showing balance of	been invested in IGI Life.
	Rs. 40 million is provided in the file, Given	Hance in our nign his course of
	that he already had sufficient funds in his bank	Hence in our view, his source of
	and all received to us through the banking	regular income is established from the bank statement.
	channel, therefore from our financial	outh dimentent.
	underwriting point of view source of funds was clearly established.	
<del> </del>	TERS CLOSELLY COMMITMENT	<u> </u>

NIC Building, 63 Jinnah Avenue, Blue Area, Islamabad Phone No: 051-9207091-94 FAX No: 051 – 9100496



Continuation Sheet - 8 -

We have checked and found that he has submitted the source of funds i.e. cash surrender value received from EFU Life by surrendering his "EFU-AI Ameen plan" of Rs. 4 million (page # 50 and 51 on annexure A) and Rs. 3 million he received from "AI Meezan Investments" (page # 43 on annexure A) hence from our financial underwriting point of view source of funds is clearly established from above submitted documents.	He is also an existing policyholder (and has submitted his declaration on amendment to application (reference, page #1 of 49034083 on annexure A) that he is a Businessman and submitted his letterhead (page 2 and 3 on annexure A). Also, the FBR statement verifies that he falls under the Business- Individual category (page 4 and 5 on annexure A). Therefore, in our view his regular source of income is his business (which has been verified from FBR).
The Policyholder is a Sr. Medical Officer and same has been mentioned on his application (page # 30 on annexure A) and his source of fund is a transfer of Rs. 10 million from Pakistan Investment Bonds (PIB's), as can be seen in the account statement (page # 46 on annexure A). The account maintenance certificate (page #48 on annexure A) is attached for reference showing date of opening of account 10.07.2013. Therefore, source of funds is clearly established from above evidences.	The applicant submitted a declaration that the funds have been inherited from his father. Since the investment in IGI Life single premium policy was through the funds inherited from father (page # 45 on annexure A), This has been duly attested by the bank. In our view proof of regular income was not required any further in this case.
The policy holder is employed in Kingdom of Saudi Arab (page # 59 on annexure A). We have checked and found that the Policyholder had provided the sale deeds (page # 88 to 121 on annexure A) amounting to Rs. 4 million and Rs. 1 million respectively. His Account maintenance certificate (page # 32 on annexure A) and bank account statements (page # 33 to 55 on annexure A) are also attached for reference which Clearly established the source of funds.	His source of regular income can be seen from his Iqama (page # 59 on annexure A).

There are instances where certain columns have been left blank inadvertently. We have noted for compliance.

#### As per Para 8 and 9:

Explanation for Enhanced Due Diligence (EDD) and Approval from Senior Management is submitted below;

We agree and recognize our gap in obtaining approval from the senior management earlier. However, in this regard vide our "Note for Delegation of Authority" dated 07.02.2019. This was written prior to receiving this show cause letter.

We have submitted same to SECP subsequent to which our Chief Operating Officer, is reviewing and signing the EDD cases.

Copy of the Note for Delegation of Authority is attached under Annexure B. As per Para 10:





Continuation Sheet - 9 -

Explanation for obtaining Documented Proof of Job/Business for Single Premium Policies of Rs.5 Million and Above;

We refer AML/CFT Regulations, 2018; where under 6(3)(a) it states;

(a) Identifying the customer or beneficial owner and verifying the customer's/beneficial owner's identity on the basis of documents, data or information obtained from customer and/or from reliable and independent sources;

It is lumbly submitted, following policies are single premium policies where premium is paid only once at the time of issuance therefore, in our view source of regular income was not required, while the sources of funds were obtained invariably. We have again checked and found that following evidences are attached in the policy files showing their identity, source of funds and in our view where applicable proof of regular income.

Copies of the all the references of page #'s from policy files mentioned in each case are attached under Annexure A and C

Policy Holder	Source of Funds	Source of Regular Income/salary slip service card etc.
	The source of wealth is established through bank statement (page # 59 to 63 on annexure C). Her account shows the balance of Rs. 14.5 million. The encashment of PIB's is clearly visible on the bank statement amounting Rs. 5.8 million and Rs. 6 million.	It is submitted that, since she is a business lady therefore in our view salary slip is not applicable in this case.
	In the case of the last of the case of the case of the cast of the	We would like to submit that in this case, FBR check for filer & non filer, confirms the name of the
	a) CNIC (page # 55 & 56 on annexure C) b) CNIC NADRA verisys (page # 25 on annexure C) c) Address provided on CNIC can be matched with address on Bank Statement (page # 59 to 65 on annexure C) and Account maintenance certificate showing account opening date as 16.01.2018 (page # 64, as on annexure C)	lady as a "filer" along with her address (page # 24 on annexure C) and she is registered with FBR since 18.12.1995.
	d) FBR check for filer & non filer, confirms the name of the lady as a filer along with her address (page # 24 on annexure C) and she is registered with FBR since 18.12.1995, it is humbly submitted that, the copy of Confirmation D. available in the file was a part of the activity to search for dubious persons and not an effort to identify business.	
	In our view, there is no doubt on the identity of the lady as this has been repeatedly verified from multiple documents as mentioned above and from financial underwriting point of view above documents are sufficient to establish her identity and source of funds.	





Continuation Sheet - 10 -

The policyholder is a retired individual who after retirement had invested his savings in "Saving Mahana Izafa" funds (at Standard Chartered Bank. The account opening date is 11.04.2018 showing a balance of Rs. 40 million.

The account maintenance certificate and account statement (on page # 45 and page # 50 & 51 on Annexure C). As he is a retired personnel and he had invested his savings in the single premium policy hence there is no need to call for evidence of source of his regular income.

It is submitted that identity of the policyholder can be established from the following documents:

- a) CNIC (page # 43, as attached on annexure C)
- b) CNIC NADRA verisys (page # 25, Annexure C)
- c) Address provided on application matches with the address on Bank Statement (page # 46, Annexure C) and Account maintenance certificate (page # 45, Annexure C)

In our view, there is no doubt on the identity of the customer as this has been repeatedly verified from multiple documents as mentioned above and from our financial underwriting point of view, the documents as mentioned above, sufficiently establish his source of funds.

It is a Single Premium Policy. We have checked and found that the account maintenance certificate (page # 44, Annexure C) bank statement of account (page#45, Annexure C); proof of receipt of foreign remittance (page #47, Annexure C) and an IPS account at Standard Chartered Bank (page # 48, Annexure C) are all available in the policy file and from our financial underwriting point of view above documents sufficiently establish the source of funds. (Pages # 44, 47 and 48 are attached in Annexure C) It Is submitted that identity of the policyholder can be established from the following documents:

- a) CNIC (page#57 and 58, Annexure C)
- b) CNIC NADRA verisys (page # 26, Annexure C)
- c) Address provided on application matches with the address on Bank Statement (page #45, Annexure C) and Account maintenance certificate (page # 44, Annexure C) In our view, there is no doubt on the identity of the customer as this has been repeatedly verified from multiple documents as mentioned above.

It is submitted that since the policyholder is a retired person, in our view salary slip was not applicable.

Also, since source of funds is established (as explained in the source of funds column) it was deemed appropriate, not to ask for further information (such as date of retirement etc).

It is submitted that since the source of funds were through foreign remittance through banking channel hence we did not call for salary slip.





Continuation Sheet - 11 -

[ ·	Has submitted source of funds i.e. cash surrender value he	He is also an existing
	received from EFU Life against his insurance plan "EFU-	policyholder
, ,	AI Ameen" amounting to Rs. 4 million (page # 50 and 51,	monator was and
	as attached in Annexure A) with an additional transfer of	has submitted his
	Rs. 3 million from "Al Meezan Investments" (page #44 on	declaration on
	annexure A) clearly establishes the source of funds. Identity	amendment to
	of can be established from the following:	application (reference,
	, , ,	page #1 of 49034083
	a) CNIC (page#52 on annexure C)	attached on annexure A)
	b) CNIC NADRA verisys (page # 21 on annexure C)	that he is a Businessman
	c) Address provided on Bank Statement (page # 55&56 on	and submitted his
	annexure C) matches with address on his CNIC. FBR check	letterhead (page 2 and 3).
	for filer & non filer, confirms his name as filer along with	Also, the FBR statement
	his address and business name which is same as mentioned	verifies that he falls
	in the application (page # 23 on annexure C). He was	under the Business-
	registered in FBR on 19.02.2007. In our view, there is no	Individual category (page
	doubt on the identity of the customer as this has been	4 and 5 on annexure A).
	repentedly verified from multiple documents as mentioned	Therefore, in our view his
	above.	regular source of income
		is his business (voluch has
		been verified from FBR).
		It is submitted that he is
		a business owner hence
		salary slip not applicable.
Z	Policy holder is a Sr. Medical Officer and same has been	It is submitted that since
	mentioned on application (page # 30 on annexure A) and	he is only investing from
•	his source of fund is a transfer of Rs. 10 million from PIB's,	PlB's hence no salary slip
,	as can be seen in the account statement (page # 46 on	was required.
,	annexure A). The account maintenance certificate (page #	
	48 on annexure A) is attached for reference. Identity of	Also, the applicant has
		declared the funds being
	a) CNIC(page#72 and 73 on annexure C)	invested after inherited
	b) CNIC NADRA verisys (page # 27 on annexure C)	from his father (page #
	c) Address provided matches CNIC and application and the	45 on annexure A)
	Bank Statement (on page # 46 on annexure A). In our view,	
	there is no doubt on the identity of the customer as this has	
	been repeatedly verified from multiple documents as	
	mentioned above.	
;	The policyholder is employed in KSA (page # 59 on	It is submitted that Iqama
	annexure A). Policyholder provided us a sale deeds (page #	provides proof of
6	88 to 121 on annexure A) amounting Rs. 4 million and Rs.	employment (page 59 on
	1 million; respectively. His account maintenance certificate	annexure A)
	(page # 32 on annexure A) and bank account statements	
	(page # 33 to 55 on annexure A) hence there was no further requirement for any other proof of business or profession.	
1		
	The identity of can be	
	established from the following:	-
	a) CNIC(page#60 on annexure A)	
	b)CNIC NADRA verisys (page # 13 on annexure A)	
	c) Iqama (page # 59 on annexure A)	
	d) Address provided on CNIC is same on Sale Deeds.	
	e) Address on CNIC matches with bank statements (page #	
	33 to 55 on annexure A) (Pages # 59, 88 to 121, 32 and 33	
1	to 55, are attached in Annexure A. In our view, there is no	^
	doubt on the identity of the customer as this has been	
1	A	<u> </u>



Continuation Sheet - 12 -

repeatedly verified from multiple documents as mentioned
 above.

There are instances where certain columns have been left blank inadvertently. We have noted for compliance.

#### As regards para 11: Purpose of Taking / Cancelling Policies

Copies of the all the references of page #'s from the policy files mentioned in each case are attached under Annexure D

Policy holder	Our Response				
£.c			s pertaining	to the said po	olicyholder where it is clearly
-		e that the mone was however a			years in 4 policies. The Policy# est".
					s on each 4 investments. The the question of suspicion never
	Policy # 49034110				
		Policy Issue date	Amount received	Surrender date	Surrender Amount Paid
	49030807	28-Dec-2011	3,000,000,00	26-feb-2015	4,420,795.88
	19031732	28-Dec-2012	10,000,000,00	I-Sep-2014	10,704,471.32
	49032061	26-Apr-2013	30,000,000.00	31-Jan-2015	36,595,348.27
	49032070	28-Apr-2013	20,000,000,00	6-Aug-2015	25,704,414.71
	19032474	27-Sep-2013	15,000,000,00	30-Oct-2013	15,000,000.00
			78,000,000.00		92,425,030.18
	Financial bought for policyhold 3 as; Fina and Build wedding of any need	or financial seder has dearly of meial security f ling capital throud a madeducation a to ask for inform	curity of fam pted priorities for family; Invo ough regular s re his 4th and 2 nation of his d	ily and the i for his finance estment of exi- savings; respensible in i.e. lesser p	re D) shows that the plans were beneficiary was his wife. The ial needs under serial #1, 2 and sting capitals for better returns ctively. It is submitted that the priorities and hence did not raise so, his wife has been declared as
	any need to ask for information of his dependents. Also, his wife has been declared as the beneficiary rather than children.  It is submitted that the applications for first 5 policies were submitted on 27.07.2017 and requirements were called for. Since the requirements were not completed within the stipulated period of 60 days hence the applications expired. The new application was submitted on 28.12.2017.  This is our standard practice that application expires when the requirements are no completed within 60 days, hence there was no deliberate need to mention the same in the underwriter's comments. It is submitted that these policies were not abandoned but only considered as expired and amount of cancelled applications was remitted back to his bank account from where funds were transferred to IGI Life.				





ontinuation Sheet - 13 -

It is submitted that all policies of this policyholder are active since 2012. Comment made on policy # 47252536 that policyholder has applied for reinstatement and "Wait for completion" requirement was written on 03.10.2018 (page #46 attached on annexure D)

This can be clearly seen on policy file (status 20) which means "active". The policyholder applied for a new case on 01.10.2018 and the requirement for both new applied case and the earlier policy # 47252536 were sent together. These requirements were of "Bank Statement" (page # 40 & 41 on annexure D) and "Account maintenance certificate" (page # 43 on annexure D) were received on 28.11.2018 and both cases were made active

It is submitted that the earlier policy # 47252536 was not incomplete. The reinstatement requirement was pending, and once those were received, the policies were issued/reinstated (status 42). (Attached on page # 12, on annexure D).

## As regards para 12: Group Wide WIL/TF Program

As regards point 13:

IGI Life Insurance Limited has a detailed policy in vogue relating to or dealing with AML/CFT Regulations, 2018. Our holding company, i.e. IGI Holdings Limited is in the process of implementing a detailed group-wide ML/TF program in line with the said Regulations. Once completed, will be shared with SECP.

Policy file did not contain copy of VERISYS										
In .	12.3		, policy			It is	submitted	tluat	we	have
inada	vertently	j missed o	ut to attach the	NADRA NADRA	. verisys.	We co	arried out t	he ver	risys	and
attac	hing sar	ne herewit	h (page # 71).	No issues	found in	the ve	erisys.		-	

- 19. The hearing of March 25, 2019 was attended by the Authorized Representatives of the Respondents namely, Mr. Nadeem Rehman Malik and Mr. Ali Nadim through the video link from the Commission's Karachi Office.
- 20. During the hearing, the Authorized Representatives reiterated their comments and stated that the most of the observations of the inspection team were related to single premium policies. They maintained that the Company had relied on the documentary proof for sources of funds, whereas proofs of regular income of the policyholders had not been sought. The Authorized Representatives were of the view that in those cases, the banks were maintaining the record of the respective policyholders. The Authorized Representatives requested the Commission to take a lenient view in the matter.
- 21. The Respondents argued that they were under the impression that the Company needed to verify the source of funds rather than proof of regular income. However, the Authorized Representatives failed to appreciate the fact that that the sources of funds were required to be supported by some legitimate sources of income of the applicants/policyholders. In all the above-cited instances nowhere, the Company provided the proofs of income. The Company in its written response dated March 22, 2019, again explained that the proofs of sources of funds had been sought, which did

NIC Building, 63 Jinnah Avenue, Blue Area, Islamabad Phone No: 051-9207091-94 FAX No: 051 – 9100496



Continuation Sheet - 14 -

not suffice the requirement of the Regulation 9(4) of the 2018 Regulations. Reiterating the inspection team's contention, it is important to have the source of income to combat ML and CFT, as a money launderer under the garb of various complex layers could hide the possible original ill-gotten source of wealth.

- 22. With regards to the cases that were categorized high risk and accordingly subjected to Enhanced Due Diligence (EDD), the Company did not obtain approval from the senior management. The Authorized Representatives admitted the gaps in obtaining approval from the senior management and stated that the Company had started obtaining approval from the senior management.
- 23. Regarding not obtaining documentary proof of job/business for single premium policies of Rs. 5 million and above, the Authorized Representatives reiterated their written response provided vide letter dated March 22, 2019 that '.... following policies are single premium policies where premium is paid only once at the time of the issuance therefore, in our view source of regular income was not required....'
- 24. Company's response is not tenable as Regulation 6(3) and Regulation 6(4) of the 2018 Regulations cited above do not differentiate between the single premium policies and other polices. Moreover, the objective of the law is to establish the identity of the applicant/policyholder and his/her income and source of funds. Hence, knowing the business or source of wealth is important for compliance with the law. In the following cases, the Company did not comply with the aforementioned requirement of the law:
  - (a) described as CEO of the Company failed to provide the credentials relating to her business entity. No documentary evidence of the applicant's company, and that of the applicant's service with employer company were provided.
  - (b) was described as a retired person yet no evidence relating to his retirement from any organization was given.
  - (c) was described as principal, yet no such evidence was provided by the Company.

  - (e) was stated as a senior government hospital doctor yet no evidence of his service was produced.
- 25. All such shortcomings on the part of the Company, as stated above, were in contravention of the AML/CFT Policy and Procedure Part VII, a, b, as in case of salaried persons, in addition to CNIC, an attested copy of the service card, service certificate,

## gg ee-2

#### SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION OF PAKISTAN

Continuation Sheet - 15 -

pay slip, or letter head of the employer were required to be obtained. It may be read in conjunction with Regulation 6(3)(a) which requires for identifying the customer or beneficial owner and verifying the customer's/ beneficial owner's identity on the basis of documents, data or information obtained from customer and/or from reliable and independent sources.

- 26. In instances where purpose of taking/cancelling a policy was not clearly given, the Company's reply on the case of i \_\_\_\_\_\_ made it clear that on average, all the 4 policies remain enforced only for a period of one and half year and the 5<sup>th</sup> policy, which was issued in September 2013 and cancelled after a month. Hence, no policy remained enforced for a considerable period. Besides, as discussed above that neither the source of income/wealth of this particular policyholder was clear enough, nor he was willing to share his bank statement. The Company, in the given situation should have probed the matter yet no such move on the part of the Company was visible.
- 27. Similarly, as per the record, got 12 policies within a short span of period i.e. July 2017 to Dec 2017, whereof the first 05 policies were termed as incomplete and the rest as active as stated by the Company. Yet neither the incomplete policies were stated as cancelled, nor the reasons were given by the Company as per the record. Besides, the Company did not produce the source of income and proof of business/job of this policyholder.
- 28. Inspection team also made observation about the Company having no group-wide program against ML/TF despite the fact that the Company is a part of a large financial and corporate group. During the inspection, the Company could not provide any evidence regarding group-wide program against ML/TF, in contravention of Regulation 19 of the 2018 Regulations. The Company in its written response dated March 22, 2019 admitted that 'IGI Limited is in the process of implementing a detailed group wide ML/TF program in line with the said Regulations. Once completed, will be shared with SECP'.
- 29. With regards to the inspection team observation that certain policy files could not produce copy of the Verisys as required under Regulation 6(4) of the 2018 Regulations, the Authorized Representatives admitted that it was inadvertently missed out to attach NADRA verisys.
- 30. It is pertinent to mention here that the Commission vide order dated March 22, 2016 under SRO 20(I)/2012 Anti-Money Laundering Rules and Regulations read with Section 156 of the Insurance Ordinance, 2000 in para 13 advised the Respondents to be careful in future. Excerpt from the aforesaid Order is reproduced below;

"In view of the above and given due consideration to the written and verbal submissions of the Respondents, I am of the view that the default of SRO 20(I)/2012 Anti-Money Laundering Rules the Ordinance is established. However, I take a lenient view and do not impose penalty on to the Respondents I hereby advise the Company and its management to be careful and observe strict compliance of the SRO 20(I)/2012 Anti-Money Laundering Rules in future."

31. Regulation 21 of the 2018 Regulations states that:

4



Continuation Sheet - 16 -

- "Penalty.- (1) Any person who contravenes or fails to comply with any provision of these regulation made shall be liable to pay such sum as provided in section 40A of the Act, in addition to any penalty provided under AML Act."
- 32. I have carefully examined and given due consideration to the written and verbal submissions of the Respondents, and have also referred to the provisions of the Ordinance, the Rules made thereunder and/or other legal references. I am of the view that the violations of Regulation 6(3)(a), Regulation 6(3)(b), Regulation 6(4), Regulation 9(4)(a), Regulation 9(4)(b) and Regulation 19 of the 2018 Regulations, are clearly established, for which the Respondents may be penalized in terms of Section 40A of the Act.
- 33. Section 40A of the Act states that:

"Penalty for violation of rules, regulations, directives and notifications. – (1) Any person who contravenes or fails to comply with any provision of rule made under section 39 or regulation made under section 40 or directive or notification issued under this Act shall be liable to pay by way of penalty a sum which may extend to ten million rupees and where the contravention is a continuing one, with a further penalty which may extend to one lundred thousand rupees for every day after the first during which such contravention continues.

- (2) A penalty under sub-section (1) shall be imposed by the Commission after providing a reasonable opportunity of being heard to the party."
- 34. In exercise of the power conferred on me under Section 40A of the Act, I, take a lenient view and do not impose fine on Board of Directors of the Company. However, I impose a fine of Rs. 30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand only) on the Company under the said provision of the Act, due to the non-compliances, as mentioned hereinabove. The Company is further directed to report compliance with AML/CFT laws and Regulations within one month of the date of this Order. Moreover, the Respondents are hereby warned and directed to ensure full compliance with the Ordinance, rules, regulations and directives of the Commission in future.
- 35. Hence, the Company is hereby directed to deposit the applicable fine in the designated bank account maintained in the name of Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan with MCB Bank Limited within thirty (30) days from the date of this Order and furnish receipted vouchers issued in the name of the Commission for information and record.
- 36. This Order is issued without prejudice to any other action that the Commission may initiate against the Company and / or its management (including the CEO of the Company) in accordance with the law on matters subsequently investigated or otherwise, brought to the knowledge of the Commission.

Shaukat Hussain

Commissioner (Insurance)

NIC Building, 63 Jinnah Avenue, Blue Area, Islamabad Phone No: 051-9207091-94 FAX No: 051 – 9100496