

Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan

Adjudication Division Adjudication Department-I

Before

Amir M. Khan Afridi – Director/ Head of Department

In the matter of Show Cause Notice issued to Bawany Air Products Limited

Dates of Hearings

December 10, 2021, January 4, 2022, and February 14, 2022

Order-Redacted Version

Order dated April 29, 2022 was passed by Head of Department (Adjudication-I) in the matter of Bawany Air Products Limited. Relevant details are given as hereunder:

Nature	Details
1. Date of Action	Show cause notice dated October 28, 2021
2. Name of Company	Bawany Air Products Limited
3. Name of Individual*	The proceedings were initiated against the Company including boards of directors
4. Nature of Offence	Proceedings were initiated in terms of the section 166, section 169 and section 479 of the Companies Act, 2017
	Brief facts of the case are that on review of the annual audited
	financial statements of the Company for the Financial Year ended
	June 30, 2020 (FY2020) and relevant statement of compliance with
	the CCG Regulations (SoC), it was observed that the Company in
	its election of directors held on November 27, 2019 appointed only one independent director.
	It was also transpired that name of *** was not available in the data bank of the individuals maintained by the Pakistan Institute of Corporate Governance (PICG) for appointing them as independent directors. In terms of the Companies (Manner and Selection of Independent Directors) Regulations, 2018 as notified by the Commission through SRO 556(I) of 2018 dated April 26, 2018 the said data bank which was operational since July 2018 was in place and was applicable. By not appointing the independent director from the said data bank, and by not having at least two independent directors on its Board, the Company, <i>prima facie</i> , has contravened Section 166(1) of the Act and regulation 6(1) of the CCG Regulations. Hence, proceedings were initiated through the



 5. Action Taken Key findings were reported in the following I have reviewed the facts of the case, submiss as well as during the hearing proceedings an mandatory for the Company to select indeped data bank maintained by PICG. The Respon that two of its directors namely *** and ** independent directors as they had no re Company or with other directors and eligible directors. In this regard, it is stated that any p in terms of Section 166(2) of the Act to be director must be registered with the data ban under Section 166(1) of the Act. In the instar noted that the Company in its election on November 27, 2019 elected only one indepen ***, and he was not registered/ enrolled in th Moreover, the Company at the time of its directors, did not appoint, at least two ir contrary to the requirements of regulat Regulations. During the course of the proceect that *** was appointed as independent dir however, evidence of enrolling Mr. Muhamm bank maintained by PICG was not furnished Keeping in view the facts that at the time of the Company failed to appoint at least two is from PICG's data bank, contrary to the required 166(1) of the Act read with regulation 6 of the which attracts applicability of Section 169 or regulation 37 of the CCG Regulations and Sec Hence, I am of the view that stance taken forr the aforesaid requirements of Section 160 regulation 6 of the CCG Regulations is not a in this regard persisted. I, therefore, in t 	se within fourteen (14) nposed on them for e Act and of the CCG
the Company failed to appoint at least two is from PICG's data bank, contrary to the req 166(1) of the Act read with regulation 6 of t which attracts applicability of Section 169 regulation 37 of the CCG Regulations and Sec Hence, I am of the view that stance taken for r the aforesaid requirements of Section 166 regulation 6 of the CCG Regulations is not a	sions made in writing nd observed that it is endent directors from idents are of the view ** may be treated as elationship with the e to act as independent person who is eligible come as independent the of PICG as required int proceedings, it was of directors held on indent director namely he data bank of PICG. aforesaid election of independent directors tion 6 of the CCG dings, it was informed rector in place of ***, had Danish in the data
 In this regard persisted. If therefore, in econferred under Section 169 of the Act read the CCG Regulation and Section 512(2) of the penalty of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Tho Respondent Company and warn other Resp in future. It is hereby directed to deposit the aforesaid a the designated bank account maintained Commission with MCB Bank Limited within 	independent directors quirements of Section the CCG Regulations, of the Act read with ction 512(2) of the Act. non-compliances with 6(1) of the Act and acceptable and default terms of the powers with regulation 37 of e Act, hereby, impose pusand only) on the bondents to be careful



			date of this Order and furnish receipted bank challan, evidencing payment of the same, to the Commission forthwith. In case of failure to deposit the penalties, the proceedings under Section 485 of the Act will be initiated for recovery of the fines as arrears of land revenue.
			Nothing in this Order may be deemed to prejudice the operation of any provision of the Act providing for imposition of penalties in respect of any default, omission, violation of the Act.
			Nothing in this Order may be deemed to prejudice the operation of any provision of the Act providing for imposition of penalties in respect of any default, omission, violation of the Act.
6.	Penalty Imposed		Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only)
7.	Current Status Order	of	No Appeal was filed.