# Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan

Islamabad, 29<sup>th</sup> January, 2018

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 510 of the Companies Act, 2017 (XIX of 2017) read with rule 24 of the Public Sector Companies (Corporate Governance) Rules, 2013, the Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan is pleased to issue the Public Sector Companies (Corporate Governance Compliance) Guidelines, 2018.

### Public Sector Companies (Corporate Governance Compliance) Guidelines, 2018

**1. Short title, application and commencement.-** (1) These guidelines may be called the Public Sector Companies (Corporate Governance Compliance) Guidelines, 2018.

(2) These guidelines shall apply to all Public Sector Companies, as defined in the Companies Act, 2017 (hereinafter called "the Act") and Public Sector Companies (Corporate Governance) Rules, 2013.

2. Publication and authentication of Statement of Compliance - (1) Every public sector company shall publish and circulate a statement of compliance with the Public Sector Companies (Corporate Governance) Rules, 2013 (hereinafter called "the Rules"), in the form set out in <u>Schedule I</u> to the Guidelines, along with its annual report to set out the status of its compliance therewith.

(2) The statement of compliance shall be signed either by the chief executive jointly with the chairman of the Board or in case of his absence, with any of the independent non-executive directors.

(3) In case of non-compliance with any of the provisions of the Rules, the reasons for non-compliance along with a line of action for complying with the provision in future, shall be provided in the Statement of Compliance, in the form set out in <u>Schedule II</u> to the Guidelines.

(4) The external auditors of the company, before publication of the Statement of Compliance, shall review the same and certify compliance through review report to the members, as set out in Schedule III to the Guidelines, and the following details:

(i) In case of listed Public Sector Companies, which are also subject to the provisions of the Code of Corporate Governance, the external auditors would:

- a. Issue a clean review report in the form set out in Schedule III (i), in cases where management has accepted and disclosed the non-compliances in the Statement of Compliance;
- b. Issue a Modified Review Report, in the form set out in Schedule III (ii), in cases where the non-compliance is on the part of the Company but management is neither accepting nor disclosing the fact;
- (ii) In case of unlisted Public Sector Companies, the external auditors would:
  - a. Issue a Clean Review Report in the form set out in Schedule III (iii), in cases where management has accepted and disclosed the non-compliances in the Statement of Compliance;
  - b. Issue a Modified Review Report, in the form set out in Schedule III (iv), in cases where the non-compliance is on the part of the Company but management is neither accepting nor disclosing the fact.

(5) Notwithstanding anything contained in the statement, any disclosure required under any other directive, code, regulation or rules shall be made in the statement.

## SCHEDULE I [See paragraph 2(1)]

## Statement of Compliance with the Public Sector Companies (Corporate Governance) Rules, 2013

Name of company .....

Name of the line ministry .....

For the year ended.....

I. This statement presents the overview of the compliance with the Public Sector Companies (Corporate Governance) Rules, 2013 (hereinafter called "the Rules") issued for the purpose of establishing a framework of good governance, whereby a public sector company is managed in compliance with the best practices of public sector governance.

II. The company has complied with the provisions of the Rules in the following manner:

S. No.	Provision of the Rules	Rule no.	Y	Ν
			Tick the rel	evant box
1.	The independent directors meet the criteria of independence, as	2(d)		

	defined under the Rules				
2.	The Board has at least one-third of its total members as independent directors. At present the Board includes:			3(2)	
	Category	Names	Date of appointment		
	Independent Directors				
	Executive Directors Non-Executive				
	Directors				
3		n five public sect	of them is serving as cor companies and listen psidiaries.	• •	
4.	The appointing authorities have applied the fit and proper criteria given in the Annexure to the Rules in making nominations of the persons for election as Board members under the provisions of the Act.			ne	
5.	The chairman of the Board is working separately from the chief executive of the Company.				
6.	The chairman has been elected by the Board of directors except where Chairman of the Board has been appointed by the Government				
7.	<ul> <li>The Board has evaluated the candidates for the position of the chief executive on the basis of the fit and proper criteria as well as the guidelines specified by the Commission.</li> <li>(Not applicable where the chief executive has been nominated by the Government)</li> </ul>				
8.	(a) The company has prepared a "Code of Conduct" to ensure professional standards and corporate values are in place.			at <b>5(4)</b>	
	<ul> <li>(b) The Board has ensured that appropriate steps have been taken to disseminate it throughout the company along with its supporting policies and procedures, including posting the same on the company's website.</li> <li>(Address of website to be indicated)</li> </ul>				
			stems and controls for thes arising from unethic		
9.	The Board has established a system of sound internal control, to ensure compliance with the fundamental principles of probity and propriety; objectivity, integrity and honesty; and relationship with the stakeholders, in the manner prescribed in the Rules.			nd	
10.	The Board has develop	bed and enforced	an appropriate conflict	of <b>5(5)(b)</b>	

	interest policy to lay down circumstances or considerations when a person may be deemed to have actual or potential conflict of interests, and the procedure for disclosing such interest.	(ii)	
11.	The Board has developed and implemented a policy on anti- corruption to minimize actual or perceived corruption in the company.	5(5)(b) (vi)	
12.	The Board has ensured equality of opportunity by establishing open and fair procedures for making appointments and for determining terms and conditions of service.	5(5)(c) (ii)	
13.	The Board has ensured compliance with the law as well as the company's internal rules and procedures relating to public procurement, tender regulations, and purchasing and technical standards, when dealing with suppliers of goods and services.	5(5)(c) (iii)	
14.	The Board has developed a vision or mission statement and corporate strategy of the company.	5(6)	
15.	The Board has developed significant policies of the company. A complete record of particulars of significant policies along with the dates on which they were approved or amended, has been maintained.	5(7)	
16.	The Board has quantified the outlay of any action in respect of any service delivered or goods sold by the Company as a public service obligation, and has submitted its request for appropriate compensation to the Government for consideration.	5(8)	
17.	The Board has ensured compliance with policy directions requirements received from the Government.	5(11)	
18.	<ul> <li>(a) The Board has met at least four times during the year.</li> <li>(b) Written notices of the Board meetings, along with agenda and working papers, were circulated at least seven days before the meetings.</li> </ul>	6(1) 6(2)	
	(c) The minutes of the meetings were appropriately recorded and circulated.	6(3)	
19.	The Board has monitored and assessed the performance of senior management on annual/half-yearly/quarterly basis* and held them accountable for accomplishing objectives, goals and key performance indicators set for this purpose. * Strike out whichever is not applicable	8 (2)	
20.	The Board has reviewed and approved the related party transactions placed before it after recommendations of the audit committee. A party wise record of transactions entered into with the related parties during the year has been maintained.	9	

21.	(a) The Board has a balance sheet as at the the year as well as the	ne end of, the first, se		-	10	
	(b)In case of listed PS and undertaken limite (c)The Board has pl company's website.		auditors.			
22.	All the Board members underwent an orientation course arranged by the company to apprise them of the material developments and information as specified in the Rules.				11	
23.	(a) The Board has fo the Rules.	rmed the requisite co	ommittees, as specil	fied in	12	
	(b) The committees defining their duti	were provided with v es, authority and com		erence		
	(c) The minutes of the meetings of the committees were circulated to all the Board members.			ted to		
	(d) The committees directors:	were chaired by the	following non-exe	cutive		
	Committee	Number of members	Name of Chair			
	Audit Committee					
	Risk Management Committee					
	Human Resources Committee					
	Procurement Committee					
	Nomination Committee					
24.	The Board has appr Company Secretary a called, with their r employment.	nd Chief Internal Au	ditor, by whatever	name	13	
25.	The Chief Financial Officer and the Company Secretary have requisite qualification prescribed in the Rules.			quisite	14	
26.	The company has adopted International Financial Reporting Standards notified by the Commission in terms of sub-section (1) of section 225 of the Act.				16	

27. 28.	The directors' report for this year has been prepared in compliance with the requirements of the Act and the Rules and fully describes the salient matters required to be disclosed.	17	
28.			
	The directors, CEO and executives, or their relatives, are not, directly or indirectly, concerned or interested in any contract or arrangement entered into by or on behalf of the company except those disclosed to the company.	18	
29.	<ul> <li>(a) A formal and transparent procedure for fixing the remuneration packages of individual directors has been set in place and no director is involved in deciding his own remuneration.</li> <li>(b) The annual report of the company contains criteria and details of remuneration of each director.</li> </ul>	19	
30.	The financial statements of the company were duly endorsed by the chief executive and chief financial officer before consideration and approval of the audit committee and the Board.	20	
31.	The Board has formed an audit committee, with defined and written terms of reference, and having the following members:         Name       of         Category <sup>i</sup> Professional background <sup>ii</sup> member       Image: Category in the second seco	21 (1) and 21(2)	
32.	<ul> <li>(a) The chief financial officer, the chief internal auditor, and a representative of the external auditors attended all meetings of the audit committee at which issues relating to accounts and audit were discussed.</li> <li>(b) The audit committee met the external auditors, at least once a year, without the presence of the chief financial officer, the chief internal auditor and other executives.</li> <li>(c) The audit committee met the chief internal auditor and other members of the internal audit function, at least once a year, without the presence of ficer and the external auditors.</li> </ul>	21(3)	
33.	<ul> <li>(a) The Board has set up an effective internal audit function, which has an audit charter, duly approved by the audit committee.</li> <li>(b) The chief internal auditor has requisite qualification and experience prescribed in the Rules.</li> <li>(c) The internal audit reports have been provided to the external auditors for their review.</li> <li>The external auditors of the company have confirmed that the firm</li> </ul>	22 23(4)	

	and all its partners are in compliance with International Federation of Accountants (IFAC) guidelines on Code of Ethics as applicable in Pakistan.		
35.	The auditors have confirmed that they have observed applicable guidelines issued by IFAC with regard to provision of non-audit services.	23(5)	

#### Signatures (Names in block letters) CEO & Chairman/Independent Director

#### SCHEDULE II

### See Paragraph 2(3)

## Explanation for Non-Compliance with the Public Sector Companies (Corporate Governance) Rules, 2013

We confirm that all other material requirements envisaged in the Rules have been complied with [except for the following, toward which reasonable progress is being made by the company to seek compliance by the end of next accounting year]:<sup>iii</sup>

Sr. No.	Rule/sub-rule no.	Reasons compliance	for non-	Future course of action

Signatures (Names in block letters) CEO & Chairman/Independent Director

<sup>i</sup> Indicate whether an executive, non-executive or independent director.

<sup>ii</sup> Indicate professional background including finance, accounting, law, business management, etc. <sup>iii</sup> Delete if not applicable

#### SCHEDULE III(i)

## [See Paragraph 2(4)(i)(a)]

### Review Report to the Members On the Statements of Compliance with the Code of Corporate Governance and Public Sector Companies (Corporate Governance) Rules, 2013

We have reviewed the enclosed Statement of Compliance with the best practices contained in the Code of Corporate Governance and Public Sector Companies (Corporate Governance) Rules, 2013 (both herein referred to as 'Codes') prepared by the Board of Directors of \_\_\_\_\_\_ for the year ended \_\_\_\_\_ to comply with the requirements of Listing Regulation No(s) \_\_\_\_ of the Stock Exchange(s) where the Company is listed.

The responsibility for compliance with the Codes is that of the Board of Directors of the Company. Our responsibility is to review, to the extent where such compliance can be objectively verified, whether the Statement of Compliance reflects the status of the Company's compliance with the provisions of the Rules and report if it does not and to highlight any non-compliance with the requirements of the Codes. A review is limited primarily to inquiries of the Company's personnel and review of various documents prepared by the Company to comply with the Codes.

As a part of our audit of the financial statements we are required to obtain an understanding of the accounting and internal control systems sufficient to plan the audit and develop an effective audit approach. We are not required to consider whether the Board of Directors' statement on internal control covers all risks and controls or to form an opinion on the effectiveness of such internal controls, the Company's corporate governance procedures and risks.

The Codes require the Company to place before the Audit Committee, and upon recommendation of the Audit Committee, place before the Board of Directors for their review and approval its related party transactions distinguishing between transactions carried out on terms equivalent to those that prevail in arm's length transactions and transactions which are not executed at arm's length price and recording proper justification for using such alternate pricing mechanism. We are only required and have ensured compliance of this requirement to the extent of the approval of the related party transactions by the Board of Directors upon recommendation of the Audit Committee. We have not carried out any procedures to determine whether the related party transactions were undertaken at arm's length price or not.

Based on our review, nothing has come to our attention which causes us to believe that the 'Statement of Compliance' does not appropriately reflect the Company's compliance, in all material respects, with the best practices contained in the Codes as applicable to the Company for the year ended \_\_\_\_\_

1Further, we highlight below instance(s) of non-compliance with the requirement(s) of the Codes as reflected in the note/ paragraph reference where it/these is/are stated in the Statement of Compliance:

#### Note/paragraph

	<b>Reference</b>	<b>Description</b>
i.		
ii.		
iii.		

**Chartered Accountants** 

Place: Date:

1 This part is applicable to Public Sector Companies which are also listed and have non-compliances identified in the Statement of Compliance by the management:

Required when the Company's Statement of Compliance refers to a non-compliance. The statutory auditors of a listed Public sector company shall ensure that any non-compliance with the requirements of the Code of Corporate Governance 2012 as referred to in the Statement of Compliance is also highlighted in their review report. In a situation where the Statement of Compliance does not mention the non-compliance with a material requirement of the Code of Corporate Governance the statutory auditor shall then issue a modified report, a format for which is attached.

#### **SCHEDULE III(ii)**

#### [See Paragraph 2(4)(i)(b)]

## Review Report to the Members On the Statements of Compliance with the Code of Corporate Governance and Public Sector Companies (Corporate Governance) Rules, 2013

We have reviewed the enclosed Statement of Compliance with the best practices contained in the Code of Corporate Governance and Public Sector Companies (Corporate Governance) Rules, 2013 (both herein referred to as 'Codes') prepared by the Board of Directors of \_\_\_\_\_\_ for the year ended \_\_\_\_\_ to comply with the requirements of Listing Regulation No(s) \_\_\_\_ of the Stock Exchange(s) where the Company is listed.

The responsibility for compliance with the Codes is that of the Board of Directors of the Company. Our responsibility is to review, to the extent where such compliance can be objectively verified, whether the Statement of Compliance reflects the status of the Company's compliance with the provisions of the Rules and report if it does not and to highlight any non-compliance with the requirements of the Codes. A review is limited primarily to inquiries of the Company's personnel and review of various documents prepared by the Company to comply with the Codes.

As a part of our audit of the financial statements we are required to obtain an understanding of the accounting and internal control systems sufficient to plan the audit and develop an effective audit approach. We are not required to consider whether the Board of Directors' statement on internal control covers all risks and controls or to form an opinion on the effectiveness of such internal controls, the Company's corporate governance procedures and risks.

The Codes require the Company to place before the Audit Committee, and upon recommendation of the Audit Committee, place before the Board of Directors for their review and approval its related party transactions distinguishing between transactions carried out on terms equivalent to those that prevail in arm's length transactions and transactions which are not executed at arm's length price and recording proper justification for using such alternate pricing mechanism. We are only required and have ensured compliance of this requirement to the extent of the approval of the related party transactions by the Board of Directors upon recommendation of the Audit Committee. We have not carried out any procedures to determine whether the related party transactions were undertaken at arm's length price or not.

Following instance(s) of non-compliance with the requirement(s) of the Codes were observed which are not stated in the Statement of Compliance:

- i .....
- ii .....
- iii .....

Based on our review, except for the above instance(s) of non-compliance, nothing has come to our attention which causes us to believe that the Statement of Compliance does not appropriately reflect the Company's compliance, in all material respects, with the best practices contained in the Codes as applicable to the Company for the year ended \_\_\_\_\_.

1 Further, we highlight below instance(s) of non-compliance with the requirement(s) of the Codes as reflected in the note/ paragraph reference where it/these is/are stated in the Statement of Compliance:

#### Note/paragraph

	Reference	<b>Description</b>
i.		
ii.		
iii.		

**Chartered Accountants** 

Place:

Date:

# 1 This part is applicable to Public Sector Companies which are also listed and have non-compliances identified in the Statement of Compliance by the management:

Required when the Company's Statement of Compliance refers to a noncompliance. The statutory auditors of a listed Public sector company shall ensure that any non-compliance with the requirements of the Code of Corporate Governance 2012 as referred to in the Statement of Compliance is also highlighted in their review report. In a situation where the Statement of Compliance does not mention the non-compliance with a material requirement of the Code of Corporate Governance the statutory auditor shall then issue a modified report, a format for which is attached.

#### **SCHEDULE III(iii)**

#### [See Paragraph 2(4)(ii)(a)]

## Review Report to the Members On the Statement of Compliance with the Public Sector Companies (Corporate Governance) Rules, 2013

We have reviewed the enclosed Statement of Compliance with the best practices contained in the Public Sector Companies (Corporate Governance) Rules, 2013 (the Rules) prepared by the Board of Directors of \_\_\_\_\_\_ for the year ended \_\_\_\_\_.

The responsibility for compliance with the Rules is that of the Board of Directors of the Company. Our responsibility is to review, to the extent where such compliance can be objectively verified, whether the Statement of Compliance reflects the status of the Company's compliance with the provisions of the Rules and report if it does not and to highlight any non-compliance with the requirements of the Rules. A review is limited primarily to inquiries of the Company's personnel and review of various documents prepared by the Company to comply with the Rules.

As a part of our audit of the financial statements we are required to obtain an understanding of the accounting and internal control systems sufficient to plan the audit and develop an effective audit approach. We are not required to consider whether the Board of Directors' statement on internal control covers all risks and controls or to form an opinion on the effectiveness of such internal controls, the Company's corporate governance procedures and risks.

The Rules requires the Company to place before the Audit Committee, and upon recommendation of the Audit Committee, place before the Board of Directors for their review and approval its related party transactions distinguishing between transactions carried out on terms equivalent to those that prevail in arm's length transactions and transactions which are not executed at arm's length price and recording proper justification for using such alternate pricing mechanism. We are only required and have ensured compliance of this requirement to the extent of the approval of the related party transactions by the Board of Directors upon recommendation of the Audit Committee. We have not carried out any procedures to determine whether the related party transactions were undertaken at arm's length price or not.

Based on our review, nothing has come to our attention which causes us to believe that the Statement of Compliance does not appropriately reflect the Company's compliance, in all material respects, with the best practices contained in the Rules as applicable to the Company for the year ended \_\_\_\_\_.

Auditor

Place:

Date:

## SCHEDULE III(iv) [See Paragraph 2(4)(ii)(b)]

## Review Report to the Members On the Statement of Compliance with the Public Sector Companies (Corporate Governance) Rules, 2013

We have reviewed the enclosed Statement of Compliance with the best practices contained in the Public Sector Companies (Corporate Governance) Rules, 2013 (the Rules) prepared by the Board of Directors of \_\_\_\_\_\_ for the year ended \_\_\_\_\_.

The responsibility for compliance with the Rules is that of the Board of Directors of the Company. Our responsibility is to review, to the extent where such compliance can be objectively verified, whether the Statement of Compliance reflects the status of the Company's compliance with the provisions of the Rules and report if it does not and to highlight any non-compliance with the requirements of the Rules. A review is limited primarily to inquiries of the Company's personnel and review of various documents prepared by the Company to comply with the Rules.

As a part of our audit of the financial statements we are required to obtain an understanding of the accounting and internal control systems sufficient to plan the audit and develop an effective audit approach. We are not required to consider whether the Board of Directors' statement on internal control covers all risks and controls or to form an opinion on the effectiveness of such internal controls, the Company's corporate governance procedures and risks.

The Rules requires the Company to place before the Audit Committee, and upon recommendation of the Audit Committee, place before the Board of Directors for their review and approval its related party transactions distinguishing between transactions carried out on terms equivalent to those that prevail in arm's length transactions and transactions which are not executed at arm's length price and recording proper justification for using such alternate pricing mechanism. We are only required and have ensured compliance of this requirement to the extent of the approval of the related party transactions by the Board of Directors upon recommendation of the Audit Committee. We have not carried out any procedures to determine whether the related party transactions were undertaken at arm's length price or not.

Following instances of non-compliance with the requirement(s) of the Rules was/were observed which is/are not stated in the Statement of Compliance:

i ..... ii ..... iii .....

Based on our review, except for the above instance(s) of non-compliance, nothing has come to our attention which causes us to believe that the 'Statement of Compliance' does not appropriately reflect the Company's compliance, in all material respects, with the best practices contained in the Rules as applicable to the Company for the year ended \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Auditor

Place:

Date:

[CLD/RD/ROC/CG/2004.]